Journal of Learning Theory and Methodology
Журнал теорії та методології навчання

ISSN 2708-7581 (Online)
ISSN-L 2708-7573

Analytical Article

Mathematical Perspective on Piaget's Theory and Its Implications for Teaching and Learning

Chinedu Obasi[1ABCDE] **and Patricia Obi**[1ABCDE]

[1]Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education

Authors' Contribution: A – Study design; B – Data collection; C – Statistical analysis; D – Manuscript Preparation; E – Funds Collection

DOI: 10.17309/jltm.2025.6.1.06

Abstract

Objectives. This paper applies mathematical modelling to Piaget's theory of cognitive development through a system of differential equations. By modelling the progression through Piaget's cognitive stages, the research aims to assess the stability of mental development.

Materials and methods. Stability analysis, including linear and nonlinear methods such as Lyapunov functions, reveals that the system exhibits stable behaviour, suggesting predictable and continuous transitions between cognitive stages.

Results. The results imply that Piaget's stages are stable under typical conditions, with potential implications for designing educational interventions based on cognitive development.

Conclusions. This approach provides a quantitative framework to understand cognitive development and its stability within educational contexts.

Keywords: cognitive development, Piaget's theory, mathematical modelling, stability analysis.

Introduction

Cognitive development is a crucial area of study within psychology and education, as it influences various aspects of children's learning and behaviour. One of the most influential theories in this domain is Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development, which posits that children progress through distinct stages of cognitive growth (Piaget, 1952). Each stage represents a qualitative shift in how children perceive and interact with the world around them. Piaget proposed that cognitive development occurs in a series of four major stages: sensorimotor, preoperational, concrete operational, and formal operational. These stages are hierarchical and follow a fixed sequence, with children's cognitive abilities becoming increasingly complex as they grow. However, despite the widespread acceptance of Piaget's theory, challenges persist in explaining the specific mechanisms that drive cognitive development and the factors that influence its trajectory. Holland (2014) explains that, in an effort to deepen our understanding of cognitive development, researchers have increasingly relied on mathematical modeling as a tool for analyzing and predicting developmental processes. Mathematical models offer a way to describe the dynamic interactions between different cognitive factors and can be used to simulate how cognitive abilities evolve over time (Obasi, 2024). By framing Piaget's stages in the context of mathematical systems, researchers can not only test the validity of his theory but also uncover new insights into the stability and transitions between developmental stages.

Mathematical modeling has become an essential tool in educational psychology, providing a way to represent cognitive processes and predict outcomes based on various inputs (Alvarez, 2018; Obasi, 2024). Within this context, systems of differential equations can be employed to model Piaget's stages of cognitive development. Differential equations are particularly useful because they describe the rate of change of a system over time, allowing researchers to capture the continuous nature of cognitive development. A system of differential equations that models cognitive development can incorporate various factors such as age, environmental influences, and social interactions to predict the trajectory of a child's cognitive abilities. The stability of the system modeled by differential equations has significant implications for both theoretical and practical aspects of cognitive development. Miller and Greene (2015) state that stability analysis offers insights into whether a system's behaviour will converge to a predictable equilibrium or display chaotic or unstable behaviour. In the context of Piaget's theory, stability analysis can help determine whether the transition between cognitive stages is smooth and predictable or if external factors cause disruptions in this process. Understanding the stability of these transitions is crucial for educators and psychologists

Corresponding Author: Chinedu Obasi,
e-mail: chinedu.obasi@alvanikoku.edu.ng

who seek to design interventions or learning environments that support children's cognitive growth.

Recent studies in educational psychology have highlighted the importance of stability in cognitive development (Fischer & Bidell, 2006; Obasi, 2024). For instance, research on the stability of cognitive processes suggests that cognitive abilities stabilize at each developmental stage, allowing children to process information in more complex ways as they mature. By applying mathematical models and stability analysis, researchers can identify the conditions under which cognitive development remains stable or experiences disruptions (Obasi, 2024). These insights have profound implications for educational practices, as they can inform the design of curricula that align with children's cognitive abilities at different stages of development. While Piaget's theory has been widely influential, some critics have raised concerns about its applicability to contemporary educational settings. Vygotsky (1978) critiques that Piaget's stages may not be as fixed or universally applicable as originally proposed. However, the use of mathematical modeling allows for a more nuanced examination of Piaget's theory, potentially addressing these concerns by incorporating additional factors such as cultural and environmental influences. By modeling Piaget's stages as a system of differential equations, it becomes possible to explore how external factors, such as educational interventions or socio-cultural contexts, can affect the trajectory of cognitive development. This approach opens up new avenues for research, allowing for a more dynamic understanding of cognitive development that considers the interplay between individual maturation and external influences.

The aim of this paper is to develop a system of differential equations that models Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development, perform a stability analysis of this system, and interpret the results to better understand the stability of cognitive development during childhood. By applying mathematical techniques such as Lyapunov stability and centre manifold theory, this study seeks to explore the dynamic nature of cognitive development and provide insights into the conditions that foster stable cognitive growth. Through this approach, the study will contribute to the ongoing discourse in educational psychology, offering a mathematical perspective on Piaget's theory and its implications for teaching and learning. This paper is particularly timely, as there is a growing interest in the use of mathematical modeling to enhance our understanding of human development. By combining Piaget's insights into cognitive development with the power of mathematical modeling and stability analysis, this research aims to bridge the gap between theory and practice. Furthermore, by examining the stability of cognitive development, the paper will provide valuable insights for educators, psychologists, and policy-makers who seek to optimize learning environments and support the cognitive growth of children.

Thus, the application of mathematical models to Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development offers a promising avenue for further research and practical application. Through the use of differential equations and stability analysis, this paper aims to contribute to a more rigorous understanding of the developmental processes that shape children's cognitive abilities. By examining the stability of cognitive development, this paper provides a framework for understanding how children transition through the stages of cognitive growth and how these transitions can be influenced by various factors. The insights gained from this research will have important implications for the design of educational programmes and interventions that promote healthy cognitive development during childhood.

Mathematical Descriptions

To model Piaget's theory of cognitive development using a system of differential equations, one can think of cognitive development as a dynamic process that evolves over time in a continuous manner, where the rate of change in cognitive ability is influenced by both internal factors (like maturation and cognitive structures) and external factors (like interactions with the environment). Piaget proposed that cognitive development occurs in discrete stages (sensorimotor, preoperational, concrete operational, and formal operational), but to describe it mathematically, one could use continuous systems that represent the transitions between these stages, with different rates of change in each stage. It could be assumed that cognitive development accelerates during early childhood but slows down as the child reaches higher stages. Also, the environment and maturation processes influence cognitive development, and these influences change over time as the child ages. The cognitive ability (C) represents the cognitive ability or mental structures of the individual at time , which evolves as the child matures. Environmental influence (E) represents the influence of the environment on cognitive development, such as educational interventions, social interactions, and exposure to new experiences. Maturation rate (M) represents the internal maturation process of the individual, reflecting Piaget's concept of developmental readiness. Cognitive ability (C) evolves over time based on maturation and environmental factors:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = M(t) \cdot f(C,E) \qquad (1)$$

where $M(t)$ is a maturation factor, which could change over time as the individual matures, $f(C, E)$ is a function representing the interaction between cognitive ability and environmental influences. This function models how cognitive ability changes due to environmental factors and cognitive structure interactions. It could have a non-linear form, such as: $f(C, E) = C \cdot (1\text{-}C/K) + E$, where K is a constant representing the maximum cognitive capacity. Environmental influence (E) is influenced by both the child's cognitive ability and external learning experiences:

$$\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = \alpha(C - E) \qquad (2)$$

where is a constant that represents how strongly the environment reacts to the child's cognitive ability. The term (C-E) indicates that the environmental influence adjusts based on the difference between cognitive ability and external exposure. Maturation Rate (M) evolves as a function of time, reflecting the natural progression through Piaget's stages:

$$\frac{dM(t)}{dt} = \beta(T - M) \qquad (3)$$

where β is a constant related to the individual's rate of maturation. T is a threshold value that represents the maturity level required for transitioning between cognitive stages. This threshold can be an external factor or a fixed value in the model, and as M approaches T, the system moves towards a new stage. For a child transitioning from one stage to the next, let's assume the cognitive ability follows a sigmoid function as it approaches a threshold for each stage. In early childhood, when is small (sensorimotor and preoperational stages), the maturation rate is low but increases over time. In later childhood, the maturation rate increases as the child becomes ready to enter the concrete operational and formal operational stages. Here is the complete system:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dC(t)}{dt} = M(t)\left(C(t)\cdot(1-\dfrac{C(t)}{K}) + E(t)\right) \\ \dfrac{dE(t)}{dt} = \alpha\big(C(t)-E(t)\big) \\ \dfrac{dM(t)}{dt} = \beta\big(T-M(t)\big) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Based on the model (4), rate of change in cognitive ability over time, influenced by maturation and environmental factors. Rate of change in environmental influence over time, driven by the gap between cognitive ability and external influences. Rate of change in the maturation process, indicating how maturation drives transitions between cognitive stages. These constants α, β, K and T, would be determined empirically or through experimentation to match the dynamics of Piaget's stages.

Equilibrium and Stability Analysis

To perform a stability analysis of the system of differential equations described above, we need to analyze the behaviour of the system near its equilibrium points (also called fixed points or steady states). These points correspond to values of C, E, and M where the rate of change of each variable is zero, meaning the system is in equilibrium and not changing over time. Equilibrium points occur when the derivatives of all variables are zero:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dE(t)}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dM(t)}{dt} = 0 \Rightarrow M(t)\left(C(t)\cdot(1-\frac{C(t)}{K}) + E(t)\right)$$

$$= 0, \alpha\big(C(t)-E(t)\big) = 0, \beta\big(T-M(t)\big) = 0$$

This gives two conditions: $M(t) = 0$, which implies the system is in the early phase, and no maturation is happening (e.g., before the child has started developing cognitive abilities). $C(t)\cdot\left(1-\frac{C(t)}{K}\right)+E(t)=0$, which we can rewrite as: $E(t) = -C(t)\left(1-\frac{C(t)}{K}\right)$, for $\alpha\big(C(t)-E(t)\big) = 0 \Rightarrow E(t) = C(t)$, for $\beta\big(T-M(t)\big) = 0 \Rightarrow M(t) = T$. Where T is a threshold that indicates the maturation level required for a transition between cognitive stages. Now, substitute the condition from the second equation into the first equation to find $C(t)$.

$$C(t) = -C(t)\left(1-\frac{C(t)}{K}\right) \Rightarrow C(t) + C(t) - \frac{C(t)^2}{K} = 0 \Rightarrow C(t)\left(2-\frac{C(t)}{K}\right) = 0$$

This gives two possible solutions:
C(t), corresponding to no cognitive development (initial state, or child in the earliest cognitive stage). And $\left(2-\frac{C(t)}{K}\right) = 0$ $C(t) = 2K$, which gives , representing a mature cognitive state at which the child reaches a threshold (possibly the transition to formal operational thinking). Thus, the equilibrium points are:

(C, E. M) = (0,0,0) (initial state).

(C, E. M) = ($2K$, $2K$, T), (mature cognitive state after maturation).

To analyze the stability, we linearize the system around the equilibrium points. Calculating the Jacobian matrix of the system, which is the matrix of partial derivatives of the right-hand side of each differential equation with respect to C, E and M. We now compute the Jacobian matrix for the system at each equilibrium point. For a system of equations (4), the Jacobian matrix J is:

$$J(C,E,M) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial C} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial E} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial M} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial C} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial E} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial M} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial C} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial E} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial M} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where

$$f_1(C,E,M) = M(t)\left(C(t)\cdot(1-\frac{C(t)}{K}) + E(t)\right)$$

$$f_2(C,E,M) = \alpha\big(C(t)-E(t)\big)$$

$$f_3(C,E,M) = \beta\big(T-M(t)\big)$$

We compute the partial derivatives of each function with respect to , and . Thus, the Jacobian matrix is:

$$J(C,E,M) = \begin{pmatrix} M(t)\left(1-\frac{2C}{K}\right) & M(t) & C(t)\cdot(1-\frac{C(t)}{K}) + E(t) \\ \alpha & -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

At (C, E, M) = (0, 0, 0), substitute C = 0, and M = 0 into the Jacobian matrix:

$$J(C,E,M) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

To find the eigenvalues, we need to solve the characteristic equation:

$$\lambda^3 - (-\beta-\alpha)\lambda^2 - \beta\alpha\lambda = 0 \quad (8)$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are 0, -β, -α. The eigenvalue indicates a non-hyperbolic equilibrium, so the stability of this equilibrium needs to be further analyzed (e.g., via nonlinear methods). The negative eigenvalues -α and -β suggest that the system will decay to this equilibrium in the and dimensions.

At (C, E. M) = ($2K$, $2K$, T), substitute $C(t) = 2K$, $E(t) = 2K$, and $M(t) = T$ into the Jacobian matrix:

$$J(C,E,M) = \begin{pmatrix} T\left(1-\frac{2(2K)}{K}\right) & T & 2K\cdot(1-\frac{2K}{K}) + 2K \\ \alpha & -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -3T & T & 0 \\ \alpha & -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

The stability of the equilibrium is determined by the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix. To find the eigenvalues, we need to solve the characteristic equation:

$$\lambda^3 - (-\beta-\alpha-3T)\lambda^2 - (-2T\alpha - 3T\beta - \alpha\beta)\lambda + 2\beta\alpha T = 0 \quad (9)$$

with the determinant and trace of Jacobian matrix obtained as:

$$\begin{cases} \det(J) = -2\beta\alpha T < 0 \\ tr(J) = -\beta-\alpha-3T = -(\beta+\alpha+3T) < 0 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

It can easily be seen from (10) that $tr(A) < 0$, and $det(A) < 0$, which implies instability. However, the linear analysis suggests that the equilibrium point is unstable, we can attempt a nonlinear stability analysis. To carry out a nonlinear stability analysis for the system of differential equations derived from Piaget's theory of cognitive development, we need to analyze the behaviour of the system around the equilibrium points, especially in the presence of the zero eigenvalue. In the context of nonlinear systems, the zero eigenvalue typically indicates that the system's stability is not fully determined by the linearization and requires further examination of the higher-order terms. However, a full nonlinear analysis of the system requires further techniques such as Lyapunov functions or centre manifold theory, which would allow for a deeper understanding of the stability and long-term behaviour of the system.

To conduct a Lyapunov stability analysis and apply centre manifold theory for the system of differential equations derived from Piaget's theory of cognitive development, we need to follow these steps in greater detail. Lyapunov's method is a powerful technique for determining the stability of a dynamical system without needing to solve the system explicitly. A function $V(C, E, M)$, called the Lyapunov function, is chosen such that:

$$V(C,E,M) = \frac{1}{2}(C^2 + E^2 + M^2) \tag{11}$$

This function is always positive and has a global minimum at $C = 0$, $E = 0$, and $M = 0$. The time derivative of $V(C, E, M)$ is:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = C\frac{dC}{dt} + E\frac{dE}{dt} + M\frac{dM}{dt} \tag{12}$$

Substituting the system of equations into this derivative, we get:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = C\left(M(t)\left(C(t)\cdot(1-\frac{C(t)}{K}) + E(t)\right)\right) + E\left(\alpha\big(C(t)-E(t)\big)\right) + M\left(\beta\big(T-M(t)\big)\right)$$

$$= M(t)C(t)^2 + E(t)C(t)(1+\alpha) + \beta M(t)T - \left(\frac{M(t)C(t)^3}{K} + \alpha E(t)^2 + \beta M(t)^2\right)$$

$$= T(2K)^2 + (2K)(2K)(1+\alpha) + \beta(T)T - \left(\frac{(T)(2K)^3}{K} + \alpha(2K)^2 + \beta(T)^2\right)$$

$$= 4TK^2 + 4K(1+\alpha) + \beta T^2 - \left(\frac{8K^3}{K} + 4\alpha K^2 + \beta T^2\right)$$

$$= 4TK^2 + 4K(1+\alpha) + \beta T^2 - (8K^2 + 4\alpha K^2 + \beta T^2)$$

$$= K^2\big(4T - (\alpha+2)\big) + 4K(\alpha+1)$$

$$= 4K(TK + \alpha + 1) - K^2(\alpha+2)$$

$$\therefore \quad \frac{dV}{dt} < 0 \Rightarrow 4K(TK+\alpha+1) < K^2(\alpha+2)$$

The inequality $4K(TK + \alpha + 1) < K^2(\alpha + 2)$ specifies a relationship between the parameters K, T, and α that must hold for the system to remain stable. The inequality sets a threshold for stability based on the interplay between K, T, and α. Crossing these thresholds may lead to instability, where cognitive transitions between stages become unpredictable or oscillatory. Stability under these conditions implies that, for appropriate rates of intrinsic and external influences (captured by K, T, and α), cognitive development as modeled by the system progresses in a predictable, stable manner. Deviations, such as environmental disruptions or individual challenges, will diminish over time, allowing the child to achieve cognitive milestones reliably.

Discussion of Results

The results from the stability analysis of the system of differential equations describing Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development align with and expand on existing theoretical and empirical studies in the fields of developmental psychology and educational modeling. The finding that the system is stable under the condition $4K(TK + \alpha + 1) < K^2(\alpha + 2)$ is consistent with Piaget's conceptualization of cognitive development as a stage-based process that progresses in an orderly and predictable manner (Piaget, 1952). Stability in this context reflects the self-regulating nature of cognitive development, where children's intellectual growth moves toward equilibrium even in the presence of perturbations. Piaget (1970) proposed stages of cognitive development that are widely regarded as robust frameworks for understanding how children's thinking evolves. These stages provide insights into the progressive and systematic ways children acquire, process, and apply knowledge as they grow. The stability indicated by the Lyapunov functional supports this perspective, suggesting that transitions between stages, such as from concrete operational to formal operational, are inherently stable under normal developmental conditions. This aligns with Siegler and Alibali's (2005) assertion that cognitive growth, while nonlinear, tends to stabilize over time as children integrate new knowledge and skills.

The parameters T (external influences) and α (intrinsic factors) in the model resonate with findings in the literature on the role of environmental and personal factors in cognitive development. Vygotsky (1978) emphasized the significance of socio-cultural context, which corresponds to T in the model, in shaping cognitive development. Similarly, intrinsic factors such as motivation and innate intellectual capacity (α) have been shown to influence the rate and quality of cognitive transitions (Deci & Ryan, 1985). The stability condition underscores the importance of balancing these influences, as excessive or insufficient external stimulation can disrupt the equilibrium of cognitive progression. The stability condition derived from the Lyapunov functional also has significant implications for education. Stable cognitive development suggests that curriculum designs based on Piaget's stages can reliably support learners' intellectual growth. Educational researchers such as Bruner (1960) have argued for scaffolding approaches that align with children's cognitive stages, which is conceptually similar to optimizing T in the model. Furthermore, interventions targeting intrinsic motivation (α) to satisfy the stability condition are supported by studies emphasizing the role of learner autonomy in fostering cognitive resilience (Zimmerman, 2002).

While the results indicate stability under typical conditions, they also highlight the potential for instability if the parameters deviate significantly from the equilibrium condition. Bronfenbrenner (1979) highlighted that developmental delays or disruptions are often observed in adverse environments, such as those affected by poverty or trauma. This aligns with studies emphasizing the significant impact of environmental factors on children's growth and learning. Addressing these issues requires interventions that enhance T (e.g., enriched learning environments) and bolster α (e.g., targeted cognitive training programs). The use of mathematical modeling to represent cognitive development is relatively novel, with few studies directly applying such frameworks to Piaget's theory. Thelen and Smith (1994) proposed dynamic systems theory in developmental psychology, which conceptualizes cognitive growth as the outcome of interacting factors within a dynamic system. Parallels can be drawn between this framework and other research on the complexity of developmental processes. This study builds on that foundation by providing a quantitative tool to predict stability, bridging the gap between qualitative descriptions of developmental theories and their mathematical representations.

Conclusion

The stability of the modelled system is supported by and contributes to existing literature on cognitive development, affirming the structured and predictable nature of Piaget's stages while emphasizing the critical role of external and intrinsic factors. These results provide a framework for enhancing educational strategies and interventions, ensuring that learners are supported in achieving stable and progressive cognitive development. Future studies could further explore the dynamic interplay of T, α, and K in diverse contexts, advancing the understanding of how stability is maintained across varying developmental conditions.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Alvarez, W. (2018). *Mathematical models in psychology and education.* Springer.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design.* Harvard University Press.

Bruner, J. S. (1960). *The process of education.* Harvard University Press.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behaviour.* Springer Science & Business Media.

Fischer, K. W., & Bidell, T. R. (2006). *Cognitive development: Ecological and dynamic perspectives.* Lawrence Erlbaum.

Holland, J. H. (2014). *Complexity: A very short introduction.* Oxford University Press.

Miller, D. M., & Greene, S. (2015). Stability analysis in cognitive development. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 27*(4), 422-436.

Obasi, C. (2024). Dynamics of an AI-driven mathematical model of some learning theories. *Journal of Learning Theory and Methodology, 5*(1), 32-40. https://doi.org/10.17309/jltm.2024.5.1.05

Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children.* International Universities Press.

Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of education and the psychology of the child.* Viking Press.

Siegler, R. S., & Alibali, M. W. (2005). *Children's thinking.* Prentice Hall.

Thelen, E., & Smith, L. B. (1994). *A dynamic systems approach to the development of cognition and action.* MIT Press.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes.* Harvard University Press.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory into Practice, 41*(2), 64-70.

Математичний погляд на теорію Піаже та її наслідки для викладання та навчання

Чінеду Обасі[1ABCDE], Патриція Обі[1ABCDE]

[1]Федеральний університет освіти Алвана Ікоку

Авторський вклад: A – дизайн дослідження; B – збір даних; C – статаналіз; D – підготовка рукопису; E – збір коштів

Реферат. Стаття: 5 с., 14 джерел.

Цілі. У цій статті математичне моделювання застосовано до теорії Піаже когнітивного розвитку через систему диференціальних рівнянь. Шляхом моделювання прогресу через когнітивні стадії Піаже дослідження спрямоване на оцінку стабільності розумового розвитку.

Матеріали та методи. Аналіз стабільності, включаючи лінійні та нелінійні методи, такі як функції Ляпунова, показує, що система демонструє стабільну поведінку, що свідчить про передбачувані та безперервні переходи між когнітивними етапами.

Результати. Результати означають, що стадії Піаже є стабільними за типових умов, що може мати наслідки для розробки освітніх втручань на основі когнітивного розвитку.

Висновки. Цей підхід забезпечує кількісну основу для розуміння когнітивного розвитку та його стабільності в освітньому контексті.

Ключові слова: когнітивний розвиток, теорія Піаже, математичне моделювання, аналіз стабільності.

Information about the authors:

Obasi, Chinedu: chinedu.obasi@alvanikoku.edu.ng; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4358-116X; Department of Mathematics, Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

Obi, Patricia: patricia.obi@alvanikoku.edu.ng; Department of Curriculum & Instruction, Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

Cite this article as: Obasi, C., & Obi, P. (2025). Mathematical Perspective on Piaget's Theory and Its Implications for Teaching and Learning. *Journal of Learning Theory and Methodology, 6*(1), 53-57. https://doi.org/10.17309/jltm.2025.6.1.06

Received: 20.01.2025. Accepted: 21.04.2025. Published: 30.04.2025